

C-2001-014-768



"James T. Springfield" <ibew10th@aol.com> on 06/08/2001 07:38:42 AM

To: "FAR Secretariat" <farcase.2001-014@gsa.gov>  
cc:

Subject: FAR Case 2001-014

To Whom It May Concern::

I am writing to express my opposition to the Bush administration's proposal to repeal the Clinton administration's rules on federal contractor responsibility. The rules require contracting officers to look at a company's record of complying with the law in deciding whether the company is a "responsible contractor" eligible to receive a federal contract.

As a taxpayer, I want my tax dollars to go to responsible companies that comply with the law, not to corporate lawbreakers. Companies that routinely violate laws designed to protect the environment, consumers, workers and other important rights shouldn't be rewarded with valuable federal contracts. That's unfair to companies that do comply with the law and allows chronic violators to profit from their lawbreaking.

I urge the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council not to repeal the contractor responsibility rules and to let the rules go into effect without further delay.

I believe that those who break the law should be punished, not rewarded. I don't spend my money on corporations or the products they sale if they have broken laws. Why would I want to spend my federal tax dollars to fund a federal contractor who has been convicted of breaking the law. I say no to this repeal and will hold those responsible for the repeal accountable at the ballot box.

Sincerely,  
James T. Springfield  
2730 Thicket Road  
Soddy-Daisy, TN 37379